

THE STATE OF MONTANA

Commissioner of Political Practices
 1205 Eighth Avenue
 Post Office Box 202401
 Helena, MT 59620-2401
 Phone: 406-444-2942
 Fax: 406-444-1643
www.politicalpractices.mt.gov

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

RECEIVED

2014 NOV 18 A 9:06

COMMISSIONER OF
POLITICAL PRACTICESHAND DELIVERED CERTIFIED MAIL SIGNED/NOTARIZED

Campaign Finance and Practices

Complaint Form (10/09)

Type or print in ink all information on this form except for verification signature

Person bringing complaint (Complainant):

Complete Name

Patricia Grabow

Complete Mailing Address

204 E. Callender #25
LIVINGSTON, MT 59047

Phone Numbers:

Work (406) 222-5214 Home (406) 220-1056

Person or organization against whom complaint is brought (Respondent):

Complete Name

Park County PlannerMike Thoman

Complete Mailing Address

Co Park County Planning Office
414 E. Callender - Livingston, MT 59047

Phone Numbers:


Work (406) 222-402 Home _____

Please complete the second page of this form and describe in detail the facts of the alleged violation.

Verification by oath or affirmation

State of Montana, County of PARK

I, Patricia Grabow, being duly sworn, state that the information in this Complaint is complete, true, and correct, to the best of my knowledge and belief.



Patricia Grabow
Signature of Complainant

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 17th day of

November, 2014
Tammy G. Clark
Notary Public

My Commission Expires:

November 17, 2014

Dear Office of Political Practices,

I sent your office information on a violation of the Hatch Act against County Commissioner Jim Durgan, County Commissioner Marty Malone and County Planner, Mike Inman, in an article in the *Livingston Enterprise* on October 17, 2014 in the course of the last election, November 4, 2014 for Park County Commissioner here in Park County, Montana. I spoke this morning with Mary and she said that it would be appropriate to file formally with your office citing 13-35-226. I am also filing with the Park County Attorney's Office under 2-2-121 and 2-2-144 which, it is my understanding, is different from the filing with your office under 13-35-226. Mary mentioned that since all three men were quoted at the same time in the article, there could be questions about their not having formed a committee in the election.

I have attached a press release with reference to the article in question in the *Livingston Enterprise* as well as my letter to the editor I wrote after the article came out delineating the details of the complaint.

Please consider this a formal filing of a complaint with your office. As I said, I had sent material in earlier by email. I have filled out the form suggested by Mary at your office and have enclosed it as well.

Thank you for your consideration on this matter.

Sincerely,



Patricia Grabow

204 East Callender

Livingston, MT 59047

Email: thegrabow@gmail.com

Phone: (406) 222-5214

(406) 220-1056

Two Park County Montana Commissioners, Jim Durgan and Marty Malone, as well as the Park County Planning Director, Mike Inman, are accused of violating the federal *Hatch Act*, October 17, 2014, during the 2014 political campaign in an article entitled, "Commissioners Raise Concerns about Candidate William Smith," during the election process and charges have been pressed in the Park County Attorney's office. The article in which the *Hatch Act* was violated was printed in the *Livingston Enterprise*:

<http://www.livingstonenterprise.com/content/2014-election-commissioners-raise-concerns-about-candidate-william-smith>

A copy of the attached letter to the editor of the *Livingston Enterprise*, a response to the October 17, 2014 *Livingston Enterprise* article raising concerns about the potential *Hatch Act* violation, was taken to the acting Park County Attorney on October 28, 2014 during the election after the publication of the article. The letter to the editor was written by Patricia Grabow, former Livingston City Commissioner and citizen, and outlines how the federal *Hatch Act* could have been violated.

Dear Editor,

First, up front, I am voting for William Smith for Park County Commissioner.

This is a response to a *Livingston Enterprise* front page article on October 17, 2014 which posed in its layout as a look at the candidates for Park County Commissioner, but was inaccurate, poorly researched and written and exceptionally biased. I would like to address three items in the article.

First, the two county commissioners and county planner quoted by the author of the article, Natalie Story, in fact, possibly violated the federal Hatch Act, Title 5 USC 1501. Were that true, it would seem remarkable to me that two commissioners and one planner chose not to obey their own manual and to obey federal law. Yesterday, I went to the *Enterprise* office and visited with Ms. Natalie Story, a young reporter who wrote the story in question. Ms. Story went to Park High with my youngest son. I asked her when and where she interviewed Commissioner Jim Durgan and Marty Malone and county planner, Mike Inman. She replied that she had called them at their offices in the City/County Building and that she had spoken to them in the hall while they were working. They, then, were being paid by the people of Park County in their official capacity as county commissioners and as county planner. The handbook for the Park County employees, including the Commissioners and planner, states on p. 21 under the heading, POLITICAL HEADING/HATCH ACT that "...A County officer or employee who is subject to the provision of the Hatch Act (receiving federal funds) is prohibited from engaging in the following activities: Use of his or her official authority or influence for the purpose of interfering with or affecting the result of an election or nomination for office...."

In addition, there is a Montana Attorney General opinion of January 31, 2005 in which in answer to the question, "Does Mont. Code Ann. 2-2-121 limit a public officer's or employee's right to support or oppose a political candidate or passage of a ballot issue?" The opinion of the then Montana Attorney General, Mike McGrath was "A public officer or public employee may engage in political speech so long as his or her speech does not involve the use of public time, facilities, equipment, supplies, personnel, or funds." All three, the two commissioners and planner had been interviewed by the *Enterprise* during their work day while they were on the payroll and in the Park County offices and in the hallway. I asked Ms. Story if she knew anything about the Hatch Act. She replied she did not.

Ms. Story, granted, was inexperienced, but her story should have been run by her editor who should have been aware of the law in this matter. In addition, as members of a quasi-judicial body like the Park County Commission, Commissioners Durgan and Malone have at the very least read their own handbook, known better. In fact, when the commissioners take their oath of office, they swear to uphold the law.

My second point is in line with the first. Candidate for Park County Commissioner, William Smith, has been active in advocating before the County Commission and attended a public

planning meeting along with around 200 other people most of whom were primarily ranchers who were concerned about proposed county-wide planning as a potential “taking” of private land. William Smith’s opponent Steve Caldwell said during the candidate debate in Emmigrant that he supports county planning. Part of the concern expressed by Durgan, Malone, and Inman is that William Smith sided with the Park County ranchers and was not in lock-step alliance with the commissioners and county planner Inman. This is America. There is every probability that William Smith will advocate for the people of Park County and not go along with whatever is proposed. He has demonstrated that over 30 years here, but he has always demonstrated that he will approach issues in a reasonable, intelligent manner. When I was a city commissioner, I have been at city/county meetings where the group simply quieted to hear what William Smith had to say. He brought clarity, problem solving, cooperation and reason to discussion and has earned the respect he is held in.

The third point is concern for, again, religion-baiting and the use of the almost derogatory term CUT four times by the *Enterprise* reporter and references going back work he had done for them in 1983---thirty years ago. I asked reporter Natalie Story if she had asked Caldwell about his faith. She said that she had not. When I asked her about her derogatory references, she replied that when she went to Park High, the kids always used that term. I remember early in my life as a civil rights advocate in Falls Church, Virginia in the early 1960’s that the “n” word was used-- to my genuine shock as a person raised in Montana. The use of that word generally in a social situation in Virginia at the time, did not make it right. The culture of Park High at the time that the *Enterprise* reporter went there, did not justify her attitude towards those of faith expressed in the *Enterprise* article. In fact, again, the editor should have addressed that. A good friend of William Smith remarked to me that he had noticed how worn out William Smith’s Bible was. That is William’s way and this is an amazing country where we have freedom of religion---the chance to seek, evolve, and grow spiritually in the way that is best for us as individuals. You actually get to read anything you want in your search for meaning in your life. It has nothing to do with the issues facing our county commissioners as we go into the next four years. But rule of law, planning, land and water use and personal political choice does. Sometime our faith, whatever form it takes, just gets us through it all.

We have a wonderful candidate in William Smith so please vote for rule of law and fairness on November 4th. You will be glad you did.

Patricia Grabow
204 East Callender Street
Livingston, MT

THE STATE OF MONTANA

Commissioner of Political Practices
 1205 Eighth Avenue
 Post Office Box 202401
 Helena, MT 59620-2401
 Phone: 406-444-2942
 Fax: 406-444-1643
www.politicalpractices.mt.gov

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

RECEIVED

2014 NOV 18 A 9:06

COMMISSIONER OF
 POLITICAL PRACTICES
 HAND DELIVERED
 CERTIFIED MAIL
 SIGNED/NOTARIZED

Campaign Finance and Practices

Complaint Form (10/09)

Type or print in ink all information on this form except for verification signature

Person bringing complaint (Complainant):

Complete Name

Patricia Grabow

Complete Mailing Address

204 E. Callender #25Livingston, MT 59041

Phone Numbers:

Work (406) 222-5214Home (406) 220-1056

Person or organization against whom complaint is brought (Respondent):

Complete Name

Park County Commissioner
Marty Malone

Complete Mailing Address

106 Pray Rd.or Park Co. Commish. off.
414 E. CallenderPray, MT 59065Livingston, MT

Phone Numbers:


Work (406) 222-4104Home (406) 333-4745

Please complete the second page of this form and describe in detail the facts of the alleged violation.

Verification by oath or affirmation

State of Montana, County of Park

I, Patricia Grabow, being duly sworn, state that the information in this Complaint is complete, true, and correct, to the best of my knowledge and belief.



Signature of Complainant

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 17th day ofNovember, 2014Tammy M. Clark

Notary Public

My Commission Expires:

Campaign Finance and Practices Complaint Form

Page 2

Statement of facts:

Describe in detail the alleged violation(s) and cite the statute or statutes you believe have been violated. Please attach copies of documentary evidence to support the facts alleged in your statement.

If the space provided below is insufficient, you may attach additional pages as necessary.

Please see attached.

Complaints must be:

- signed
- notarized
- delivered in person or by certified mail.

November 17, 2014

Dear Office of Political Practices,

I sent your office information on a violation of the Hatch Act against County Commissioner Jim Durgan, County Commissioner Marty Malone and County Planner, Mike Inman, in an article in the *Livingston Enterprise* on October 17, 2014 in the course of the last election, November 4, 2014 for Park County Commissioner here in Park County, Montana. I spoke this morning with Mary and she said that it would be appropriate to file formally with your office citing 13-35-226. I am also filing with the Park County Attorney's Office under 2-2-121 and 2-2-144 which, it is my understanding, is different from the filing with your office under 13-35-226. Mary mentioned that since all three men were quoted at the same time in the article, there could be questions about their not having formed a committee in the election.

I have attached a press release with reference to the article in question in the *Livingston Enterprise* as well as my letter to the editor I wrote after the article came out delineating the details of the complaint.

Please consider this a formal filing of a complaint with your office. As I said, I had sent material in earlier by email. I have filled out the form suggested by Mary at your office and have enclosed it as well.

Thank you for your consideration on this matter.

Sincerely,



Patricia Grabow

204 East Callender

Livingston, MT 59047

Email: thegrabow@gmail.com

Phone: (406) 222-5214

(406) 220-1056

Two Park County Montana Commissioners, Jim Durgan and Marty Malone, as well as the Park County Planning Director, Mike Inman, are accused of violating the federal *Hatch Act*, October 17, 2014, during the 2014 political campaign in an article entitled, "Commissioners Raise Concerns about Candidate William Smith," during the election process and charges have been pressed in the Park County Attorney's office. The article in which the *Hatch Act* was violated was printed in the *Livingston Enterprise*:

<http://www.livingstonenterprise.com/content/2014-election-commissioners-raise-concerns-about-candidate-william-smith>

A copy of the attached letter to the editor of the *Livingston Enterprise*, a response to the October 17, 2014 *Livingston Enterprise* article raising concerns about the potential *Hatch Act* violation, was taken to the acting Park County Attorney on October 28, 2014 during the election after the publication of the article. The letter to the editor was written by Patricia Grabow, former Livingston City Commissioner and citizen, and outlines how the federal *Hatch Act* could have been violated.

Dear Editor,

First, up front, I am voting for William Smith for Park County Commissioner.

This is a response to a *Livingston Enterprise* front page article on October 17, 2014 which posed in its layout as a look at the candidates for Park County Commissioner, but was inaccurate, poorly researched and written and exceptionally biased. I would like to address three items in the article.

First, the two county commissioners and county planner quoted by the author of the article, Natalie Story, in fact, possibly violated the federal Hatch Act, Title 5 USC 1501. Were that true, it would seem remarkable to me that two commissioners and one planner chose not to obey their own manual and to obey federal law. Yesterday, I went to the *Enterprise* office and visited with Ms. Natalie Story, a young reporter who wrote the story in question. Ms. Story went to Park High with my youngest son. I asked her when and where she interviewed Commissioner Jim Durgan and Marty Malone and county planner, Mike Inman. She replied that she had called them at their offices in the City/County Building and that she had spoken to them in the hall while they were working. They, then, were being paid by the people of Park County in their official capacity as county commissioners and as county planner. The handbook for the Park County employees, including the Commissioners and planner, states on p. 21 under the heading, POLITICAL HEADING/HATCH ACT that "...A County officer or employee who is subject to the provision of the Hatch Act (receiving federal funds) is prohibited from engaging in the following activities: Use of his or her official authority or influence for the purpose of interfering with or affecting the result of an election or nomination for office...."

In addition, there is a Montana Attorney General opinion of January 31, 2005 in which in answer to the question, "Does Mont. Code Ann. 2-2-121 limit a public officer's or employee's right to support or oppose a political candidate or passage of a ballot issue?" The opinion of the then Montana Attorney General, Mike McGrath was "A public officer or public employee may engage in political speech so long as his or her speech does not involve the use of public time, facilities, equipment, supplies, personnel, or funds." All three, the two commissioners and planner had been interviewed by the *Enterprise* during their work day while they were on the payroll and in the Park County offices and in the hallway. I asked Ms. Story if she knew anything about the Hatch Act. She replied she did not.

Ms. Story, granted, was inexperienced, but her story should have been run by her editor who should have been aware of the law in this matter. In addition, as members of a quasi-judicial body like the Park County Commission, Commissioners Durgan and Malone have at the very least read their own handbook, known better. In fact, when the commissioners take their oath of office, they swear to uphold the law.

My second point is in line with the first. Candidate for Park County Commissioner, William Smith, has been active in advocating before the County Commission and attended a public

planning meeting along with around 200 other people most of whom were primarily ranchers who were concerned about proposed county-wide planning as a potential “taking” of private land. William Smith’s opponent Steve Caldwell said during the candidate debate in Emmigrant that he supports county planning. Part of the concern expressed by Durgan, Malone, and Inman is that William Smith sided with the Park County ranchers and was not in lock-step alliance with the commissioners and county planner Inman. This is America. There is every probability that William Smith will advocate for the people of Park County and not go along with whatever is proposed. He has demonstrated that over 30 years here, but he has always demonstrated that he will approach issues in a reasonable, intelligent manner. When I was a city commissioner, I have been at city/county meetings where the group simply quieted to hear what William Smith had to say. He brought clarity, problem solving, cooperation and reason to discussion and has earned the respect he is held in.

The third point is concern for, again, religion-baiting and the use of the almost derogatory term CUT four times by the Enterprise reporter and references going back work he had done for them in 1983---thirty years ago. I asked reporter Natalie Story if she had asked Caldwell about his faith. She said that she had not. When I asked her about her derogatory references, she replied that when she went to Park High, the kids always used that term. I remember early in my life as a civil rights advocate in Falls Church, Virginia in the early 1960’s that the “n” word was used-- to my genuine shock as a person raised in Montana. The use of that word generally in a social situation in Virginia at the time, did not make it right. The culture of Park High at the time that the *Enterprise* reporter went there, did not justify her attitude towards those of faith expressed in the *Enterprise* article. In fact, again, the editor should have addressed that. A good friend of William Smith remarked to me that he had noticed how worn out William Smith’s Bible was. That is William’s way and this is an amazing country where we have freedom of religion---the chance to seek, evolve, and grow spiritually in the way that is best for us as individuals. You actually get to read anything you want in your search for meaning in your life. It has nothing to do with the issues facing our county commissioners as we go into the next four years. But rule of law, planning, land and water use and personal political choice does. Sometime our faith, whatever form it takes, just gets us through it all.

We have a wonderful candidate in William Smith so please vote for rule of law and fairness on November 4th. You will be glad you did.

Patricia Grabow
204 East Callender Street
Livingston, MT

THE STATE OF MONTANA

Commissioner of Political Practices
 1205 Eighth Avenue
 Post Office Box 202401
 Helena, MT 59620-2401
 Phone: 406-444-2942
 Fax: 406-444-1643
 www.politicalpractices.mt.gov

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

RECEIVED

2014 NOV 18 A 9:05

HAND DELIVERED
 COMMISSIONER OF
 POLITICAL PRACTICES
 CERTIFIED MAIL

SIGNED/NOTARIZED

Campaign Finance and Practices

Complaint Form (10/09)

Type or print in ink all information on this form except for verification signature

Person bringing complaint (Complainant):

Complete Name

Patricia Grabow

Complete Mailing Address

204 E. Callender #25
Livingston, MT 59047

Phone Numbers:

Work (406) 222-5214 Home (406) 220-1056

Person or organization against whom complaint is brought (Respondent):

Complete Name

Commissioner
Jim Dergan

Complete Mailing Address

4505 Old Yellowstone Trail Park Co. Commiss
North 414 E. Callender
St

Phone Numbers:


Work (406) 222-4106 Home (406) 222-6922

Please complete the second page of this form and describe in detail the facts of the alleged violation.

Verification by oath or affirmation

State of Montana, County of Park

I, Patricia Grabow, being duly sworn, state that the information in this Complaint is complete, true, and correct, to the best of my knowledge and belief.



Signature of Complainant

Patricia Grabow

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 17th day of

November, 2014

Tammy M. Clark

Notary Public

My Commission Expires:

November 17, 2014

Dear Office of Political Practices,

I sent your office information on a violation of the Hatch Act against County Commissioner Jim Durgan, County Commissioner Marty Malone and County Planner, Mike Inman, in an article in the *Livingston Enterprise* on October 17, 2014 in the course of the last election, November 4, 2014 for Park County Commissioner here in Park County, Montana. I spoke this morning with Mary and she said that it would be appropriate to file formally with your office citing 13-35-226. I am also filing with the Park County Attorney's Office under 2-2-121 and 2-2-144 which, it is my understanding, is different from the filing with your office under 13-35-226. Mary mentioned that since all three men were quoted at the same time in the article, there could be questions about their not having formed a committee in the election.

I have attached a press release with reference to the article in question in the *Livingston Enterprise* as well as my letter to the editor I wrote after the article came out delineating the details of the complaint.

Please consider this a formal filing of a complaint with your office. As I said, I had sent material in earlier by email. I have filled out the form suggested by Mary at your office and have enclosed it as well.

Thank you for your consideration on this matter.

Sincerely,



Patricia Grabow

204 East Callender

Livingston, MT 59047

Email: thegrabow@gmail.com

Phone: (406) 222-5214

(406) 220-1056

Two Park County Montana Commissioners, Jim Durgan and Marty Malone, as well as the Park County Planning Director, Mike Inman, are accused of violating the federal *Hatch Act*, October 17, 2014, during the 2014 political campaign in an article entitled, "Commissioners Raise Concerns about Candidate William Smith," during the election process and charges have been pressed in the Park County Attorney's office. The article in which the *Hatch Act* was violated was printed in the *Livingston Enterprise*:

<http://www.livingstonenterprise.com/content/2014-election-commissioners-raise-concerns-about-candidate-william-smith>

A copy of the attached letter to the editor of the *Livingston Enterprise*, a response to the October 17, 2014 *Livingston Enterprise* article raising concerns about the potential *Hatch Act* violation, was taken to the acting Park County Attorney on October 28, 2014 during the election after the publication of the article. The letter to the editor was written by Patricia Grabow, former Livingston City Commissioner and citizen, and outlines how the federal *Hatch Act* could have been violated.

Dear Editor,

First, up front, I am voting for William Smith for Park County Commissioner.

This is a response to a *Livingston Enterprise* front page article on October 17, 2014 which posed in its layout as a look at the candidates for Park County Commissioner, but was inaccurate, poorly researched and written and exceptionally biased. I would like to address three items in the article.

First, the two county commissioners and county planner quoted by the author of the article, Natalie Story, in fact, possibly violated the federal Hatch Act, Title 5 USC 1501. Were that true, it would seem remarkable to me that two commissioners and one planner chose not to obey their own manual and to obey federal law. Yesterday, I went to the *Enterprise* office and visited with Ms. Natalie Story, a young reporter who wrote the story in question. Ms. Story went to Park High with my youngest son. I asked her when and where she interviewed Commissioner Jim Durgan and Marty Malone and county planner, Mike Inman. She replied that she had called them at their offices in the City/County Building and that she had spoken to them in the hall while they were working. They, then, were being paid by the people of Park County in their official capacity as county commissioners and as county planner. The handbook for the Park County employees, including the Commissioners and planner, states on p. 21 under the heading, **POLITICAL HEADING/HATCH ACT** that "...A County officer or employee who is subject to the provision of the Hatch Act (receiving federal funds) is prohibited from engaging in the following activities: Use of his or her official authority or influence for the purpose of interfering with or affecting the result of an election or nomination for office...."

In addition, there is a Montana Attorney General opinion of January 31, 2005 in which in answer to the question, "Does Mont. Code Ann. 2-2-121 limit a public officer's or employee's right to support or oppose a political candidate or passage of a ballot issue?" The opinion of the then Montana Attorney General, Mike McGrath was "A public officer or public employee may engage in political speech so long as his or her speech does not involve the use of public time, facilities, equipment, supplies, personnel, or funds." All three, the two commissioners and planner had been interviewed by the *Enterprise* during their work day while they were on the payroll and in the Park County offices and in the hallway. I asked Ms. Story if she knew anything about the Hatch Act. She replied she did not.

Ms. Story, granted, was inexperienced, but her story should have been run by her editor who should have been aware of the law in this matter. In addition, as members of a quasi-judicial body like the Park County Commission, Commissioners Durgan and Malone have at the very least read their own handbook, known better. In fact, when the commissioners take their oath of office, they swear to uphold the law.

My second point is in line with the first. Candidate for Park County Commissioner, William Smith, has been active in advocating before the County Commission and attended a public

planning meeting along with around 200 other people most of whom were primarily ranchers who were concerned about proposed county-wide planning as a potential "taking" of private land. William Smith's opponent Steve Caldwell said during the candidate debate in Emmigrant that he supports county planning. Part of the concern expressed by Durgan, Malone, and Inman is that William Smith sided with the Park County ranchers and was not in lock-step alliance with the commissioners and county planner Inman. This is America. There is every probability that William Smith will advocate for the people of Park County and not go along with whatever is proposed. He has demonstrated that over 30 years here, but he has always demonstrated that he will approach issues in a reasonable, intelligent manner. When I was a city commissioner, I have been at city/county meetings where the group simply quieted to hear what William Smith had to say. He brought clarity, problem solving, cooperation and reason to discussion and has earned the respect he is held in.

The third point is concern for, again, religion-baiting and the use of the almost derogatory term CUT four times by the Enterprise reporter and references going back work he had done for them in 1983---thirty years ago. I asked reporter Natalie Story if she had asked Caldwell about his faith. She said that she had not. When I asked her about her derogatory references, she replied that when she went to Park High, the kids always used that term. I remember early in my life as a civil rights advocate in Falls Church, Virginia in the early 1960's that the "n" word was used-- to my genuine shock as a person raised in Montana. The use of that word generally in a social situation in Virginia at the time, did not make it right. The culture of Park High at the time that the *Enterprise* reporter went there, did not justify her attitude towards those of faith expressed in the *Enterprise* article. In fact, again, the editor should have addressed that. A good friend of William Smith remarked to me that he had noticed how worn out William Smith's Bible was. That is William's way and this is an amazing country where we have freedom of religion---the chance to seek, evolve, and grow spiritually in the way that is best for us as individuals. You actually get to read anything you want in your search for meaning in your life. It has nothing to do with the issues facing our county commissioners as we go into the next four years. But rule of law, planning, land and water use and personal political choice does. Sometime our faith, whatever form it takes, just gets us through it all.

We have a wonderful candidate in William Smith so please vote for rule of law and fairness on November 4th. You will be glad you did.


Patricia Grabow

204 East Callender Street
Livingston, MT